



Airports Commission

Suggested Criteria for consideration by the Airports Commission

Consultation submission by CPRE Protect Kent* in response to paragraph 1.19 of the Airports Commission's Guidance Document 01: "Submitting evidence and proposals to the Airports Commission", February 2013

Specifically: *"We would welcome suggestions for criteria that might be used to identify the most plausible options ahead of the interim report and would ask that they be submitted to us by 15 March 2013."*

Suggested Criteria

1. Holistic Assessment

In the light of global warming/carbon emissions, take account of likely international air transport issues, viz:

- Increasing and adverse impact on the cost of air travel and a consequent reduction in the demand for seats/cargo ?
- Potential for governments to impose restraints on 'food miles', 'holiday miles' and 'eco-tourism' ?
- Pricing of air travel to increasingly reflect society's shift to more responsible environmental governance? And how will these issues affect the economy in the short term and long term ?
- Opportunities for the UK to take a lead in setting new standards of best practice aviation in terms of people, welfare and environmental responsibility ?

Other holistic criteria:

- Avoiding 'trading' *potential impacts* – e.g. if emissions are reduced, there must be no increase in noise and vice versa.
- Re-assessing the impact of travel and air fare pricing to countries where impact adversely affects bio-diversity – assess the environmental and economic implications.

Airports Commission

suggested criteria for consideration by the Airports Commission

2. Climate change

- EU imposed global warming and carbon emissions targets – based on total emissions, not just those relating to carbon dioxide.
- In view of the uniquely damaging emissions from aircraft in flight, which makes their global warming effect at least double of that of the carbon dioxide alone (see: www.newscientist.com/article/dn20304-contrails-warm-the-world-more-than-aviation-emissions.html) all emissions should be considered, and include cirrus, contrails, water (H₂O), Black Carbon, sulphate (SO₄), methane (CH₄), ozone (O₃) as well as carbon dioxide (CO₂).

3. Resource Use

- Land use strategies: is there justification for trade-off between land used for expansion of the air transport system versus agriculture, housing, recreation and other uses ? Should there be a renewed obligation to use brownfield land for recreation and/or nature reserves or other uses, e.g. the potential to use the large land areas associated with disused or under-used airports (such as Manston airport) for intensive farming.
- Establish the principle of 'trading equality': conversion of greenfield/grassland sites for new airports/airport extensions equal to the release of equivalent industrial/commercial/domestic use land for recreational or nature reservation purposes.
- Obligations and commitments to reduce likelihood of breaching 'planetary boundaries' (water, bio-diversity, carbon emissions, ozone layer, ocean acidification, etc.).

4. Quality of Life, Environment and Health

- Health: encompassing pollution of air, land and water – as a result of noise, emissions, fuel, anti-freeze, etc. caused by airport construction and use. Measuring the effects on/impact on mental and physical health and any consequent impact on NHS and healthcare costs.
- Enhancing visual amenity and protecting the landscape and bio-diversity.
- Assessing the impact of airports on the tranquillity of the countryside.

5. Transport

- Recognising the economic, social and environmental benefits of an integrated approach to transport provision and management – from walking, cycling, bus, rail, car, ships and aircraft.
- Economic benefits/rewards for low noise, low carbon aircraft/airlines.
- Adopting flexible airline schedules to reduce under-utilised capacity – providing both economic and environmental benefits.

Airports Commission

suggested criteria for consideration by the Airports Commission

6. Economic

- Adopting more enlightened pricing structures (and tax/subsidy regimes) for air travel – including carbon emission costs.
- Assessing the impact of less air travel/more air travel impact on the UK's Balance of Payments deficit as a result of tourism and business travel.
- Short term and long term impact of policies on jobs – opportunities to reduce imports through better/higher travel costing and consequential increase in job growth in the UK.

7. Consequential impacts of new airport facilities

- Impacts of airport and aircraft operations on the required infrastructure (fuel supplies, support services, housing, water, etc).

8. Forecasting

- Current baseline measurement ,e.g. capacity, need, aims, obligations, projections etc.?
- Why the growth assumption ? Why is this justified when the Earth's resources are limited - why the desire to grow passenger miles ?
- Limit the 'capacity versus need' projections to 20 years to 25 years – is it practical to consider a 2050 outlook because of the number of variables, illustrated by what has happened in the past 30 to 40 years.
- Why follow others – opportunity for competitive distinction ?
- Assess, over time, the likely impact of science and technology on air transport.

CPRE Protect Kent Air Transport Sub-Group

For further information please contact:

Dr Hilary Newport, Director, Protect Kent
The Kent Branch of CPRE, Queens Head House, Ashford Road, Charing, TN27 0AD

tel: 01233 714541
e-mail: hilary.newport@protectkent.org.uk
web: www.protectkent.org.uk

**CPRE Protect Kent: the Kent Branch of the Campaign to Protect Rural England. We are a registered charity (number 1092012) and is also a company limited by guarantee, registered in England (number 4335730).*